Fields marked with \* are mandatory.

## About this consultation

This consultation is part of a study aimed at supporting the development of a European Union (EU) strategic approach to pharmaceuticals in the environment, and in turn at helping the EU achieve the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals, in particular SDG 6 ("Clean Water and Sanitation"), as well as objectives in EU legislation such as the "good status" objective in the Water Framework Directive. Adoption of the approach is to be followed by proposals for specific measures, as appropriate, which would be subject, as necessary, to full impact assessment.

Pharmaceuticals can enter the environment during their production, use and disposal. The need for a strategic approach has been prompted by concern about risks to the environment itself, and possibly to human health via the environment. Any actions to address those risks must also ensure that humans and animals can continue to benefit from the appropriate use of pharmaceuticals and that the competitiveness of EU healthcare systems is maintained.

This consultation aims to collect feedback and further information from stakeholders on their perception of the problem, the need for action, and on some of the actions that could be prioritised.

A **background paper**, provided with this questionnaire, describes 30 possible policy options grouped into 10 action areas. These have been identified on the basis of a review of the recent literature and preliminary consultation of stakeholders. You may wish to read the summary of the paper, or the paper itself, before answering the questions, but this is not essential.

Your responses will help the European Commission (EC) to formulate the strategic approach and prioritise areas for action. Thank you in advance.

### Important note on the publication of answers

Please note that the responses received will be published on the EC's website, together with the identity of the contributor unless the contributor objects to the publication of personal data.

#### \*1. Please indicate your preference as regards publication of your contribution

- My contribution may be published, mentioning my name or the name of my organisation as well as country of residence
- My contribution may be published anonymously

Please note that, whatever option chosen, your answers may be subject to a request for public access to documents under <u>Regulation (EC) N°1049/2001</u>. Please also read the specific privacy statement referred to on the consultation webpage.

## About the respondent

#### \*2. Are you replying as:

- An individual
- An EU institution
- A national/regional/local public authority
- A company
- A business or workers' organisation
- An NGO, environmental or consumer group
- A research organisation
- Other

#### \* If other, please specify:

\*3a. Please state your name or the name of your organisation (published)

#### \*3a. Please state your name or the name of your organisation (anonymous)

\*3b. Please provide your email address *(Please note that your email address will not be published regardless of the option chosen in question 1)* 

#### \*4. Is your company:

- A large company (250 employees and over)
- A medium-sized company (50 to 249 employees)
- A small company (10 to 49 employees)
- A micro-company (less than 10 employees)

#### \*5. How many members does your organisation or group represent?

\*6. Is your organisation registered in the Transparency Register of the European Commission?

Yes

No

#### \*7. Please enter the identification number

#### \*8. What is your main field of activity or main area of expertise or interest?

- Pharmaceuticals
- Human healthcare (including pharmacy)
- Veterinary care (including veterinary pharmacy)
- Water and waste water management
- Waste management
- Other
- No specific relevant expertise

#### \* If other, please specify:

250 character(s) maximum

#### \*9. What is your main country of residence or activities? (published)

- Austria
- Belgium
- Bulgaria
- Croatia
- Oprus
- Czech Republic
- Denmark
- Estonia
- Finland
- France
- Germany
- Greece
- Hungary
- Ireland
- Italy
- Latvia
- 🔍 Lithuania
- Luxembourg
- Malta
- Netherlands
- Poland
- Portugal
- Romania
- Slovak Republic
- Slovenia
- Spain

- Sweden
- United Kingdom
- Other

#### \*If other, please specify (published):

#### \*9. What is your main country of residence or activities? (anonymous)

- Austria
- Belgium
- Bulgaria
- Croatia
- Oprus
- Czech Republic
- Denmark
- Estonia
- Finland
- France
- Germany
- Greece
- Hungary
- Ireland
- Italy
- Latvia
- Lithuania
- Luxembourg
- Malta
- Netherlands
- Poland
- Portugal
- Romania
- Slovak Republic
- Slovenia
- Spain
- Sweden
- United Kingdom
- Other

#### \*If other, please specify (anonymous):

## General questions on the issue

### Awareness of the issue

\*10. How would you describe your level of awareness of the issue of pharmaceuticals and the environment?

- Nil (It hasn't been on my/our radar until now)
- Low (I/we have heard a bit about it)
- Moderate (I/we have heard a fair amount about it)
- High (I/we have been looking at it in detail)

# \*11. What has made you aware of the issue of pharmaceuticals and the environment? (Please mark all that apply.)

- Seeing this consultation
- Reports in the press, on television or social media
- Campaign material from organisations such as NGOs
- Vork 🗹
- Information from pharmacist/doctor/dentist/hospital/vet
- Talking with friends/family
- Other

#### \* If other, please specify:

500 character(s) maximum

\*12. Has awareness of the issue made you do any of the following? (Please mark all that apply.)

- Start taking unused medicines to the pharmacy (if you were not already)
- Stop flushing unused pharmaceuticals down the sink or toilet
- Talk to your pharmacist or doctor about the issue
- Talk to friends or family about the issue
- Change your consumption of over-the-counter (non-prescribed) medicines

Other

#### \* If other, please specify:

500 character(s) maximum

\*13. Do you see a connection between this issue and the development of antimicrobial resistance (AMR)? (Resistance means, for example, that existing antibiotics may no longer be effective against disease-causing bacteria.)

- 🧭 Yes
- No
- Not sure

14. What (other) aspect of the issue (of pharmaceuticals in the environment) concerns you most?

Finding the right balance between availability and affordability of medicines, and environmental friendly design and manufacturing. Packaging according to individual needs can also be a way to avoid wrongly dispensed unused medicines.

### **Relative importance of actions**

# \*15. How do you see the need for actions (including research) to address the risk from pharmaceuticals in the environment?

#### A) For human pharmaceuticals

- Not necessary
- Necessary but not urgent
- Vrgent
- No opinion

#### \*B) For veterinary pharmaceuticals

- Not necessary
- Necessary but not urgent
- Virgent
- No opinion

#### If you wish to, please explain your answer:

1500 character(s) maximum

16. Please give each of the twelve possible actions below a score between 5 and 0, where 5 = high priority action, 3 = medium priority action, 1 = low priority action, 0 = not in favour). All actions must be scored. *Please note that the actions have been numbered to indicate which action areas they relate to in the background document, but are in most cases more specific.* 

	5	4	3	2	1	0
*1. More research to better understand the risks	۲	۲	۲	۲	۲	۲
*2. "Greener" design of pharmaceuticals, e.g. to make them more biodegradable	0	9	0	0	0	۲
*3. More stringent conditions for putting a pharmaceutical on the market	۲	۲	0			
*4. Cleaner manufacturing	۲	۲	۲	0	0	0
*5. Better risk mitigation, e.g. not allowing over-the-counter sale of pharmaceuticals that pose an environmental risk	0	۶	0	0	0	0
*6. Better/more thorough post-market monitoring of pharmaceuticals in the environment and feedback to the regulatory process	0	۲	0	0	0	0
*7. (a) Better training for medical professionals, e.g. about pharmaceuticals that are less harmful for the environment	۲	۲	0	0	0	۲

*7. (b) Better information for the public, e.g. about how to dispose of unused medicines	۲			0		
*7. (c) Smaller packaging sizes, to reduce unnecessary waste /disposal	O	۲	0	0	۲	۲
*8. Improved handling of waste pharmaceuticals	۷	۲	$\odot$	0	۲	۲
*9. (a) Improved sewage and wastewater treatment	۲	9	$\odot$	0	0	0
*9. (b) Improvements in livestock farming to reduce the use /emission of pharmaceuticals	۲	O	0	0	O	O

# If you wish to, please explain your scoring or add brief comments on the listed actions, referring to their number.

1500 character(s) maximum

7c patient organisations can be of major importance when distributing such information. Patients are often confronted with various or changing treatments and comorbidities/polypharmacy which means that they are likely to have to dispose different types of medicine. Disseminating the correct and most environmental methods through patient organisations can be of great added-value

17. If you are aware of any actions already being taken in your own country, please mention them and provide details.

1500 character(s) maximum

18. Please feel free to suggest further actions, in addition to those included in this questionnaire and the background document, or in your answer to Q.17, to address the impacts of pharmaceuticals in the environment.

1500 character(s) maximum

19. We invite you to suggest information sources on pharmaceuticals and the environment (titles of publications and web links are appreciated) in order to increase the evidence base on the topics addressed in this questionnaire.

1500 character(s) maximum

If you wish to submit additional documentation (up to three pages), please upload your file here.