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The nature of producing health

• Health Care does not produce health, people produce health.
• Health Care supports people in realizing their potential health
• Close collaboration between patients, family and health care providers is necessary to realize effective, safe and patient centered care
Start Health Care Quality Indicator (HCQI) work in 2002 (with input from CWF and Nordic Council)

- A conceptual framework for the OECD Health Care Quality Indicators Project
- OECD Health Care Quality Indicator Project. The expert panel on primary care prevention and health promotion

Work on indicators on acute care, primary care, cancer and infectious diseases

- Publication in OECD Health at a Glance (HAG) since 2007

Work on patient safety indicators

- Publication in OECD HAG since 2011
- International comparability of patient safety indicators in 15 OECD member countries: a methodological approach of adjustment by secondary diagnoses

Work on mental health and patient experience indicators

- Publication in OECD HAG since 2013

Revision of framework and set of HCQI in 2013

- Towards actionable international comparisons of health system performance: expert revision of the OECD framework and quality indicators

Work on health information infrastructure since 2011
• Continuous refinement of international comparability of existing indicator sets.
• Started work on indicators based on prescription data and developed/tested a core set of patient experiences measures.
• Dependence on national information infrastructures (death statistics, registries, administrative data, EHR, surveys and linkage capabilities between them)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>An evolving view of outcomes</th>
<th>Rationale, examples of measures and data sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>From Deaths</td>
<td>• Mortality and life-expectancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• public health perspective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• data source: death registries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To Diseases</td>
<td>• Prevalence and incidence of diseases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Outcome measures to capture the reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>in morbidity and for specific diseases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• medical/clinical perspective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• data source: clinical registries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Linking to costs/value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• at system level: burden of diseases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• for specific services and interventions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• cost-effectiveness studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To Disability</td>
<td>• Outcomes to address the way a health system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• at system level: DALY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• at health services: e.g., inter RAI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• data sources: administrative data-bases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• surveys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To Discomfort and Dissatisfac-</td>
<td>• Outcomes experienced by citizens/patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tion</td>
<td>• PROMS (patient reported outcomes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• including EQ5D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• PREMs (CAHPS, Picker)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Safety is an important part of high-quality, sustainable health services.
Scope of the OECD work on Patient Safety

• Patient Safety Indicators since 2005
  – Based on administrative databases modelled after AHRQ in the US
  – Based on prescription databases

• New work on Patient Safety 2016
  – Patient Reported Incident Measures
  – Use of PSI’s in EU countries
  – The Economics of Patient Safety
Thirty-day mortality after admission to hospital for AMI based on admission data, 2003 to 2013 or (nearest years)

1 Admissions resulting in a transfer are included.

Note: 95% confidence intervals represented by H. Three-year average for Iceland and Luxembourg.

Source: OECD Health Statistics 2015.
Variation AMI 30 day case fatality rates within and between countries

FIGURE 13. Hospitals’ quality in care of AMI.

EUROHOPE project, 2014
Postoperative pulmonary embolism or deep vein thrombosis in hip and knee surgeries, 2013 (or nearest year)

Note: Rates have not been adjusted by the average number of secondary diagnoses.
1. The average number of secondary diagnoses is < 1.5.
Postoperative sepsis in abdominal surgeries, 2013 (or nearest year)

Note: Rates have not been adjusted by the average number of secondary diagnoses.
1. The average number of secondary diagnoses is < 1.5.

StatLink: http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/888933281167
Obstetric trauma, vaginal delivery with instrument, 2013 (or nearest year)

Crude rates per 100 instrument-assisted vaginal deliveries

1. Based on registry data.
OECD work on PREMS

- Since 5 years international comparative activities
- Set of Principles
- Clearinghouse on the use of PREMS in OECD countries
- Core set of questions tested based on CWF material
- Reporting on the core set in Health at a Glance
- Broadening to PRIMS and questions on integrated care
Doctor spending enough time with patient in consultation, 2013 (or nearest year)

Note: 95% confidence intervals represented by H.
1. National sources. 2. Data refer to patient experiences with regular doctor.
Source: Commonwealth Fund International Health Policy Survey 2013 and other national sources.

StatLink  http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/888933281241
Doctor providing easy-to-understand explanations, 2013 (or nearest year)

Note: 95% confidence intervals represented by H.
1. National sources. 2. Data refer to patient experiences with regular doctor.
Source: Commonwealth Fund International Health Policy Survey 2013 and other national sources.

StatLink: http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/888933281241
Doctor giving opportunity to ask questions or raise concerns, 2013 (or nearest year)

Note: 95% confidence intervals represented by H.
1. National sources. 2. Data refer to patient experiences with regular doctor.
Source: Commonwealth Fund International Health Policy Survey 2010 and other national sources.

StatLink: http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/888933281241
Doctor involving patient in decisions about care and treatment, 2013 (or nearest year)

Note: 95% confidence intervals represented by H.
1. National sources. 2. Data refer to patient experiences with regular doctor.
Source: Commonwealth Fund International Health Policy Survey 2013 and other national sources.

StatLink: http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/88893281241
## Sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>International surveys</th>
<th>National surveys</th>
<th>Others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality: Expanded HCAHPS Survey</td>
<td>• Collected through a questionnaire on PREMs and HCQI data collection</td>
<td>• Discussions at the HCQI meetings in 2012 and 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The Commonwealth Fund International Health Policy Survey 2013 and 2016 (draft)</td>
<td>• Reviewed surveys from AUS, BEL, CAN, CZE, DNK, EST, FRA, DEU, IRL, ISR, KOR, MEX, NLD, NZL, NOR, POL, ESP, SWE, CHE, and GBR (ENG, SCT, WAL).</td>
<td>• Teleconferences in 2013, participated by 14 OECD countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Eurobarometer 2009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
important similarities exist for indicator developments

- Many surveys measure patient experiences in relation to safety in the following three dimensions based on similar questions:
Information sharing/management

• Did the health professional you consulted know important information about your medical history?
  – Yes; No; Not Sure; Not applicable; Decline to answer

• Were there times when the person you were seeing did not have access to your recent tests or exam results?
  – Yes; No; Not Sure; Not applicable; Decline to answer

• Sometimes in a hospital or clinic, a member of staff will say one thing and another will say something quite different. Did this happen to you?
  – Yes; No; Not Sure; Not applicable - I was always treated by the same person; Decline to answer
Infection prevention

• In your opinion, how clean was the clinic/hospital?
  – Clean; Not clean; Not Sure; Not applicable; Decline to answer

• As far as you know, did doctors and other professionals wash or clean their hands between touching patients?
  – Yes; No; Not Sure; Not applicable; Decline to answer
Did a member of staff confirm your identity prior to administering your medication?
- Yes; No; Not Sure; Not applicable; Decline to answer

Did a member of staff confirm your identity prior to your procedure/operation/surgery?
- Yes; No; Not Sure; Not applicable; Decline to answer
Before you left clinic/hospital,

• were you given any written or printed information about what you should or should not do after leaving clinic/hospital?
  – Yes; No; Not Sure; Not applicable; Decline to answer

• did you get enough information about how your illness or your symptoms may likely to affect your daily life?
  – Yes; No; Not Sure; Not applicable; Decline to answer
Medication safety

• **Was a list of your medications reviewed with you before you left the clinic/hospital?**
  – Yes; No; Not Sure; Not applicable; Decline to answer

• **Were you given clear written or printed information about the medicines you were to take at home?**
  – Yes; No; Not Sure; Not applicable; Decline to answer

• **Did a member of staff explain the purpose of the medications you were to take at home in a way you could understand?**
  – Yes; No; Not Sure; Not applicable - I did not need an explanation; Decline to answer
Medication safety

• Did a member of staff explain to you how and when to take the medications?
  – Yes; No; Not Sure; Not applicable - I did not need an explanation; Decline to answer

• Did a member of staff tell you about medication side effects to watch for?
  – Yes; No; Not Sure; Not applicable - I did not need this type of information; Decline to answer
Needs for further care and treatment

Before you left clinic/hospital,

• did you get information in writing about what symptoms or health problems to look out for and when to seek further care or treatment?
  – Yes; No; Not Sure; Not applicable; Decline to answer

• did a member of staff tell you who to contact if you were worried about your condition or treatment after you left the clinic/hospital?
  – Yes; No; Not Sure; Not applicable; Decline to answer
Patient-reported incidents

- Diagnosis-related incidents
- Treatment-related incidents
- Medical complications
- Patient accidents
Did you experience delays in being notified about abnormal test results?
  – Yes; No; Not Sure; Not applicable; Decline to answer

Did you experience incorrect, missed or delayed diagnosis?
  – Yes; No; Not Sure; Not applicable; Decline to answer

Did you experience a medication-related error (e.g. wrong prescription, wrong dose, wrong time, dispensing error in pharmacy, wrong administration route, reported allergic reaction, omitted by mistake)?
  – Yes; No; Not Sure; Not applicable; Decline to answer

Did you suffer any unnecessary injury or unnecessary problem as a result of a surgical procedure or examination?
  – Yes; No; Not Sure; Not applicable; Decline to answer
Medical complications and patient accidents

• Did you develop an inflammation or aching redness of a vein (phlebitis) with fever because of an intravenous line?
  – Yes; No; Not Sure; Decline to answer

• Did you get an infection (e.g. urinary tract infection, sepsis, wound infection) in connection with your clinic visit/hospital stay?
  – Yes; No; Not Sure; Had infection before hospitalization; Decline to answer

• Did you get a blood clot (e.g. Deep Vein Thrombosis) during your clinic visit/hospital stay?
  – Yes; No; Not Sure; Decline to answer

• Did you experience a fall during your clinic visit/hospital stay?
  – Yes; No; Not Sure; Decline to answer
• Have there been occasions during your contacts with the health service when you would have liked to complain?
  – Yes; No; Not Sure; Not applicable; Decline to answer
• Did you in fact complain?
  – Yes; No; Decline to answer
• Did you see, or were you given, any information explaining how to provide feedback or complain to the clinic/hospital about the care you received?
  – Yes; No; Not Sure; Decline to answer
• If you experienced mistakes or unnecessary problems in connection with your clinic visit/hospital stay, did the staff handle the mistake or problem in a satisfactory way?
  – Yes; No; Not Sure; Not applicable; Decline to answer
OECD High-level reflection group on health statistics (HLRG) 2015

• Gap in existing information systems and data collection – results of health care as reported by service users

• Recommendations:
  ➔ Develop an comparable set of patient-reported outcomes and experience indicators at: 1. disease- 2. sector- 3. service- and 4. system-level
  ➔ Extend collection to challenging and neglected areas: chronic conditions, LTC, informal care, prevention, multi-morbidity
  ➔ Focus on enabling international comparability
PROMs: A snapshot of countries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Elective surgery</th>
<th>Cancer</th>
<th>Chronic conditions</th>
<th>Pain</th>
<th>Mental health</th>
<th>Palliative care</th>
<th>Long-term care</th>
<th>Informal care</th>
<th>Emergency &amp; intensive care</th>
<th>Preventive care</th>
<th>Generic PROMs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>England</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- These are not all national programmes, but known PROMs activity reported by countries in the policy survey.

Source: OECD policy survey on patient-reported measures, 2016.
Most common disease groups / interventions

Source: OECD policy survey on patient-reported measures, 2016.
Cooperation with CWF and ICHOM
Background document prepared for ministerial January 2017 describing use of PROMS/PREMS in OECD countries
Future work on standard setting, clearinghouse, advice on implementation in data-infrastructure, international comparisons
Cooperation with stakeholders, including EPF
PEOPLE at the CENTRE
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SESSIONS:

• Step into the future: Realising the goal of person-centred care

• Caring for people with complex needs

• Measuring what matters: Outcomes and patient experiences as a catalyst for change?

• A conversation with Health Ministers

Draft agenda available at: https://www.oecd.org/health/ministerial/
Click here to register your interest in attending